## COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

## FISCAL NOTE

<u>LR No.</u>: 3108-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 950

Subject: St. Louis County: Taxation and Revenue

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: January 27, 2004

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

LR No. 3108-01 Bill No. SB 950 Page 2 of 4 January 27, 2004

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Local Government *	Unknown to (Unknown)	\$0	\$0	

<sup>\*</sup> Oversight assumes fiscal impact to be minimal.

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

## **ASSUMPTION**

Officials of the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education** assume there would be no fiscal impact to the Department or to local school districts. Officials assume those counties who would no longer need to have computer upgrades could realize some savings.

Officials of the Office of State Auditor assume no fiscal impact to their office.

Officials of the **State Tax Commission** assume no fiscal impact to the Commission. Officials assume that under current law, all counties should be in the process of updating their computer systems to implement the class-by-class roll-back provisions of the property tax law. Officials assume that if this proposal would become law, the counties that would now be excluded from the roll-back provisions, may incur some administrative cost to change the computer systems. The costs if any, could vary in each county.

**St. Louis County- Director of Revenue** made comment but did not issue a fiscal impact statement. **Oversight** assumes that St. Louis County would have no fiscal impact.

LR No. 3108-01 Bill No. SB 950 Page 3 of 4 January 27, 2004

#### <u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

The **Jackson County Executive** assumes this proposal would have no fiscal impact on Jackson County.

Oversight for the purposes of this fiscal note assumes that counties under current law are required to begin the roll-back-provisions in 2005. Therefore, some if not all counties might be making computer up-grades to their systems. Oversight does not know the number of counties who would be making up-grades nor the number of counties that might not be making up-grades. This proposal would only require St. Louis County to initiate the roll-back provision. If all other counties were exempt from this provision, then they would not have to up-grade their computer systems, resulting in some savings to counties. Oversight will assume any savings or costs to be minimal, and will show fiscal impact as a positive Unknown to a negative (Unknown). Oversight assumes costs or savings to counties would occur only in FY 2005.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2005 (10 Mo.)	FY 2006	FY 2007
	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2005 (10 Mo.)	FY 2006	FY 2007
COUNTIES GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
<u>Fiscal Impact</u> to Certain Counties from computer up-grades	<u>Unknown to</u> (Unknown)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS *	<u>Unknown to</u> ( <u>Unknown)</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<sup>\*</sup> Oversight assumes fiscal impact to be minimal.

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

RWB:LR:OD (12/02)

LR No. 3108-01 Bill No. SB 950 Page 4 of 4 January 27, 2004

#### **DESCRIPTION**

This act limits the class-by-class roll-back provisions in the property tax law to apply only to Saint Louis County. Current law provides that in 2005 and after property tax rates statewide will be rolled back separately for each subclass of real property (residential, agricultural, and commercial) and personal property. This act limits those provisions to only apply to political subdivisions in Saint Louis County.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

## **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Office of State Auditor
Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
State Tax Commission
St. Louis County- Director of Revenue
Jackson County Executive

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

January 27, 2004